

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,315	03/31/2004	Mark A. Boerger	CM05922J	5177
MOTOROLA,	7590 03/10/2009 INC.	EXAMINER		
8000 West Sur	rise Boulevard	DOAN, TRANG T		
Room 1610 Plantation, FL	33322-9947		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2431	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/814,315	BOERGER, MARK A.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TRANG DOAN	2431		
TRANG DOAN	2431		

		TRANG DOAN	I	2431				
The MAILING DATE of this co	ommunication appe	ars on the cover sheet	with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 02/27/2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Reques for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresr	months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory p Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, of	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the saturatory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the pellition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension fee because 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set oft in (b) above, if checket. A vary reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 4 Notice of Appeal has been filed, any AMENDMENTS	1.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41	.37(e)), to a	avoid dismissal of the				
The proposed amendment(s) filed at	fter a final rejection I	but prior to the date of filir	na a brief v	will not be entered be	CRITCA			
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that wou (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new ma (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place 	ıld require further co itter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search w);	(see NOT	E below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims	without canceling a	corresponding number of	finally reject	cted claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR	1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in complian			of Non-Con	npliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. Sor purposes of appeal, the propose how the new or amended claims wou. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration.	ld be rejected is prov e) as follows:			be entered and an e	planation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed a because applicant failed to provide a was not earlier presented. See 37 Cl 	showing of good and							
The affidavit or other evidence filed a entered because the affidavit or othe showing a good and sufficient reason	r evidence failed to c	vercome all rejections un	der appeal	and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is en REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OT 		n of the status of the clain	ns after en	try is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has See Continuation Sheet. 	been considered bu	t does NOT place the app	plication in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclet</i> 13. Other:	osure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s))					
/Ayaz R. Sheikh/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Uni	t 2131							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed on 02/27/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that PSWN reference does not teach driver application operates to communicate key command information to the KWL who without the user of the timer peripheral and enables the UART peripheral to utilize parity error for the timer peripheral communication with the KVL. Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's PSWN reference does teach the UART peripheral to utilize parity error under the control to under the under the control to under the under the control to under the under the

Applicant further argues that PSWN reference fails to disclose transmitting a second detection signal from the UART to a KVL application when the UART for detects a receive data byte; transmitting a third detection signal from the UART to a KVL. Link layer application indicating all data has been received; and transmitting a fourth detection signal from the UART to a KVL. Link layer application for sending subsequent data until all data has been transmitted by the UART. Examiner respectfully disaggeses. PSWN reference does distentisting a second detection signal from the UART to a KVL application when the UART detects a receive data byte; transmitting a third detection signal from the UART to a KVL application indicating all data has been received; and transmitting a bornth detection signal from the UART to a KVL application for sending subsequent data until all data has been transmitting a bornth detection signal from the UART to a KVL ink layer application for sending subsequent data until all data has been transmitting a bornth detection signal from the UART to a KVL.

The Arrive Park of Technology and page 19, section 6 "Conclusions").

The examiner is not trying to teach the invention but is merely trying to interpret the claim language in its broadest and reasonable meaning. The examiner will not interpret to read narrowly the claim language to read exactly from the specification, but will interpret the claim language in the broadest reasonable interpretation in view of the specification. Therefore, the examiner assents that cited prior art does teach or suggest the subject matter broadly recited in independent Claims. Accordingly, rejections for claims 1-13 are respectfully maintained.